

Joshua Trigsted (13126)
Trigsted Law Group, P.C.
5200 SW Meadows Rd, Ste 150
Lake Oswego, OR 97035
503-376-6774, ext. # 216
866-927-5826 facsimile
jtrigsted@attorneysforconsumers.com
Attorney for Plaintiff

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF UTAH, NORTHERN DIVISION**

BRANDON PECK, Plaintiff, v. BONNEVILLE BILLING AND COLLECTIONS, INC., Defendant.	COMPLAINT Case No. 1:12-cv-00244-BCW JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
---	---

I. INTRODUCTION

1. This is an action for damages brought by an individual consumer for Defendant's violations of the federal Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692, *et seq.* (hereinafter "FDCPA").

II. JURISDICTION

2. Plaintiff's claim for violations of the FDCPA arises under 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d), and therefore involves a "federal question" pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331.

III. PARTIES

3. Plaintiff, Brandon Peck ("Plaintiff"), is a natural person residing in Davis County, Utah.

4. Defendant, Bonneville Billing and Collections, Inc., (“Defendant”) is a corporation engaged in the business of collecting debts by use of the mails and telephone. Defendant regularly attempts to collect debts alleged due another.

IV. FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

5. Defendant is a “debt collector” as defined by the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6).

6. Plaintiff is a “consumer” as defined by the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3).

7. All activities of Defendant set out herein were undertaken in connection with the collection of a “debt,” as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(5).

8. Within the last year, Defendant took multiple actions in an attempt to collect a debt from Plaintiff. Defendant’s conduct violated the FDCPA in multiple ways, including the following.

9. Using false representations and deceptive practices in connection with collection of an alleged debt from Plaintiff, including multiple leaving voicemails with Plaintiff over the course of several months that imparted a false sense of urgency to Plaintiff. The messages stated that Defendant “needed a call back today” and other similar statements that indicated that some specific action would be taken or a change of status would occur if Plaintiff did not call back. Plaintiff never called back, and yet nothing happened except more calls (§ 1692e(10)).

10. As a result of the aforementioned violations, Plaintiff suffered and continues to suffer injuries to Plaintiff’s feelings, personal humiliation, embarrassment, mental anguish and severe emotional distress.

11. Defendant intended to cause, by means of the actions detailed above, injuries to Plaintiff’s feelings, personal humiliation, embarrassment, mental anguish and severe emotional

distress.

12. Defendant's actions, detailed above, were undertaken with extraordinary disregard of, or indifference to, known or highly probable risks to purported debtors.

13. To the extent Defendant's actions, detailed in paragraphs above, were carried out by an employee of Defendant, that employee was acting within the scope of his or her employment.

COUNT I: VIOLATION OF FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT

14. Plaintiff reincorporates by reference all of the preceding paragraphs.

15. The preceding paragraphs state a *prima facie* case for Plaintiff and against Defendant for violations of the FDCPA, §§ 1692e.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully prays that judgment be entered against the Defendant for the following:

- A. Declaratory judgment that Defendant's conduct violated the FDCPA;
- B. Actual damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k;
- C. Statutory damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k;
- D. Costs, disbursements and reasonable attorney's fees for all successful claims, and any unsuccessful claims arising out of the same transaction or occurrence as the successful claims, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k; and,
- E. For such other and further relief as may be just and proper.

PLAINTIFF HEREBY REQUESTS A TRIAL BY JURY

Dated this 16th day of November, 2012:

TRIGSTED LAW GROUP, P.C.

s/ Joshua Trigsted
Joshua Trigsted
Attorney for the Plaintiff